

APPROXIMATION NUMBERS OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON H^p SPACES OF DIRICHLET SERIES

FRÉDÉRIC BAYART, HERVÉ QUEFFÉLEC, AND KRISTIAN SEIP

ABSTRACT. By a theorem of the first named author, φ generates a bounded composition operator on the Hardy space \mathcal{H}^p of Dirichlet series ($1 \leq p < \infty$) only if $\varphi(s) = c_0 s + \psi(s)$, where c_0 is a nonnegative integer and ψ a Dirichlet series with the following mapping properties: ψ maps the right half-plane into the half-plane $\operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$ if $c_0 = 0$ and is either identically zero or maps the right half-plane into itself if c_0 is positive. It is shown that the n th approximation numbers of bounded composition operators on \mathcal{H}^p are bounded below by a constant times r^n for some $0 < r < 1$ when $c_0 = 0$ and bounded below by a constant times n^{-A} for some $A > 0$ when c_0 is positive. Both results are best possible. Estimates rely on a combination of soft tools from Banach space theory (s -numbers, type and cotype of Banach spaces, Weyl inequalities, and Schauder bases) and a certain interpolation method for \mathcal{H}^2 , developed in an earlier paper, using estimates of solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$ equation. A transference principle from H^p of the unit disc is discussed, leading to explicit examples of compact composition operators on \mathcal{H}^1 with approximation numbers decaying at a variety of sub-exponential rates. Finally, a new Littlewood–Paley formula is established, yielding a sufficient condition for a composition operator on \mathcal{H}^p to be compact.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

In the recent work [26], we studied the rate of decay of the approximation numbers of compact composition operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}^2 , which consists of all ordinary Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-s}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2 := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 < \infty.$$

The general motivation for undertaking such a study is that the decay of the approximation numbers is a quantitative way of studying the compactness of a given operator, yielding more precise information than what for instance its membership in a Schatten class does. The purpose of the present paper is to take the natural next step of making a similar investigation in the case when \mathcal{H}^2 is replaced by the Banach spaces \mathcal{H}^p for $1 \leq p < \infty$; here we follow [2] and define \mathcal{H}^p as the completion of the set of Dirichlet polynomials $P(s) = \sum_{n=1}^N b_n n^{-s}$ with

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A05, 43A46.

The second author is supported by the Research Council of Norway grant 227768. This paper was initiated during the research program *Operator Related Function Theory and Time-Frequency Analysis* at the Centre for Advanced Study at the Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters in Oslo during 2012–2013.

respect to the norm

$$\|P\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} = \left(\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |P(it)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

We consider this a particularly interesting case because operator theory on these spaces so far is poorly understood and appears intractable by standard methods.

Our starting point is the first named author's work on \mathcal{H}^p and boundedness and compactness of the composition operators acting on these spaces [2, 3]. A basic fact proved in [2] is that \mathcal{H}^p consists of functions analytic in the half-plane $\sigma := \operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$. This means that $C_\varphi f := f \circ \varphi$ defines an analytic function whenever f is in \mathcal{H}^p and φ maps this half-plane into itself. But more is clearly needed for C_φ to map \mathcal{H}^p into \mathcal{H}^p . In particular, we need to consider other half-planes as well and introduce therefore again the notation

$$\mathbb{C}_\theta := \{s = \sigma + it : \sigma > \theta\},$$

where θ can be any real number. Following the work of Gordon and Hedenmalm [10], we say that an analytic function φ on $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ belongs to the Gordon–Hedenmalm class \mathcal{G} if it can be represented as

$$\varphi(s) = c_0 s + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n n^{-s} =: c_0 s + \psi(s),$$

where c_0 is a nonnegative integer and ψ is a Dirichlet series that is uniformly convergent in each half-plane \mathbb{C}_ε ($\varepsilon > 0$) and is either identically 0 or has the mapping properties $\psi(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathbb{C}_0$ if $c_0 \geq 1$ and $\psi(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ if $c_0 = 0$. This terminology is justified by the result from [10] saying that C_φ is bounded on \mathcal{H}^2 if and only if φ belongs to \mathcal{G} . (See [26, Theorem 1.1] for this particular formulation of the result.) The \mathcal{H}^p version of the Gordon–Hedenmalm theorem reads as follows [3].

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\varphi : \mathbb{C}_{1/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ is an analytic map and that $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

- (a) *If C_φ is bounded on \mathcal{H}^p , then φ belongs to \mathcal{G} .*
- (b) *C_φ is a contraction on \mathcal{H}^p if and only if φ belongs to \mathcal{G} and $c_0 \geq 1$.*
- (c) *If φ belongs to \mathcal{G} and $c_0 = 0$, then C_φ is bounded on \mathcal{H}^p whenever p is an even integer.*

The curious fact that part (c) of this theorem only covers the case when p is an even integer can be directly attributed to an interesting feature of \mathcal{H}^p . To see this, we recall that \mathcal{H}^p can be identified isometrically with $H^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$, which is the H^p space of the infinite-dimensional polydisc \mathbb{T}^∞ , via the so-called Bohr lift. This space is a subspace of the Lebesgue space $L^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ with respect to normalized Haar measure on \mathbb{T}^∞ . The main difference with classical H^p spaces is that, even for $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, $\mathcal{H}^p = H^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ is not complemented in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ [9]. As a consequence, there seems to be little hope to obtain a useful description of the dual space of \mathcal{H}^p . This is a serious obstacle and makes it hard to employ familiar techniques such as interpolation in the Riesz–Thorin or Lions–Peetre sense. We refer to [33, 20] for further details about the anomaly of \mathcal{H}^p . There are additional obstacles as well, since familiar Hilbert space techniques such as orthogonal projections, frames and Riesz sequences, and equality of various s -numbers (like approximation, Bernstein, Gelfand numbers) are no longer available.

We have found ways to circumvent these difficulties to obtain results that, at least partially, parallel those from [26]. To state our first result, we recall that the n th approximation number $a_n(T)$ of a bounded operator on a Banach space X is the distance in the operator norm from T to operators of rank $< n$. One of our main theorems is a direct analogue and indeed an improvement of [26, Theorem 1.1], showing again the crucial dependence on the parameter c_0 :

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that c_0 is a nonnegative integer, $p \geq 1$, and that $\varphi(s) = c_0 s + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n n^{-s}$ is a nonconstant function that generates a bounded composition operator C_φ on \mathcal{H}^p .*

- (a) *If $c_0 = 0$, then $a_n(C_\varphi) \gg \delta^n$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$.*
- (b) *If $c_0 \geq 1$, then $a_n(C_\varphi) \geq \delta_p (n \log n)^{-\operatorname{Re} c_1}$, where $\delta_p > 0$ only depends on p . In particular, if $\operatorname{Re} c_1 > 0$, then*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n(C_\varphi)]^{1/\operatorname{Re} c_1} = \infty.$$

These lower bounds are optimal.

As in [26], the notation $f(n) \ll g(n)$ or equivalently $g(n) \gg f(n)$ means that there is a constant C such that $f(n) \leq Cg(n)$ for all n in question.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 follows the same pattern as the proof of [26, Theorem 1.1], with an additional ingredient allowing a sharper estimate (new even for $p = 2$) in the case $c_0 \geq 2$. The general strategy is based on fairly soft functional analysis, which turns out to remain valid in the context of our spaces \mathcal{H}^p . It leads to certain general estimates for approximation numbers of C_φ , which are made effective thanks to a hard technical device involving $\bar{\delta}$ correction arguments. It is perhaps surprising to find such methodology in this context, but it proved to be quite efficient in the case $p = 2$ [26]. Unexpectedly, this auxiliary Hilbert space result can be used again in the present setting without any essential changes.

Contrary to what happens for composition operators on $H^p(\mathbb{D})$, continuity or compactness of composition operators on \mathcal{H}^p can not be immediately inferred from what is known when $p = 2$. Indeed, no nontrivial sufficient condition for a composition operator to be compact on \mathcal{H}^p , $p \neq 2$, has been found in previous studies. We have therefore chosen to include in the present paper a sufficient condition when $c_0 \geq 1$, similar to the condition given in [3] for composition operators on \mathcal{H}^2 . As in that paper, our condition will depend on a Littlewood–Paley formula, but the proof of [3] can not be easily adapted since it depends crucially on the Hilbert space structure of \mathcal{H}^2 . To state our condition, we need to recall that the Nevanlinna counting function of a function φ in \mathcal{G} is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_\varphi(s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{w \in \varphi^{-1}(s)} \operatorname{Re} w & \text{if } s \in \varphi(\mathbb{C}_0) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $\varphi(s) = c_0 s + \psi(s)$ is in \mathcal{G} with $c_0 \geq 1$ and that*

- (a) *$\operatorname{Im} \psi$ is bounded on \mathbb{C}_0 ;*
- (b) *$\mathcal{N}_\varphi(s) = o(\operatorname{Re} s)$ when $\operatorname{Re} s \rightarrow 0^+$.*

Then C_φ is compact on \mathcal{H}^p for $p \geq 1$.

Note that in the special case when φ is univalent, we have either $\mathcal{N}_\varphi(s) = \operatorname{Re} \varphi^{-1}(s)$ or $\mathcal{N}_\varphi(s) = 0$. This means that assumption (b) can be rephrased as saying that $\operatorname{Re} \psi(s) / \operatorname{Re} s \rightarrow +\infty$ when $\operatorname{Re} s \rightarrow 0^+$.

In the final part of the paper, we will discuss a transference principle that was established in [26], showing that symbols of composition operators on $H^p(\mathbb{D})$, via left and right composition with two fixed analytic maps, give rise to composition operators on \mathcal{H}^p . The idea is to use this principle to transfer estimates for approximation numbers from $H^p(\mathbb{D})$. This leads to satisfactory results when $p = 1$, but, surprisingly, for no other values of $p \neq 2$. As an example, we mention that it allows us to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *There exists a function $\varphi(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n n^{-s}$ in \mathcal{G} such that C_φ is bounded on \mathcal{H}^1 with approximation numbers verifying*

$$a e^{-b\sqrt{n}} \leq a_n(C_\varphi) \leq a' e^{-b'\sqrt{n}}.$$

This theorem follows, via our transference principle, from estimates from [17] for composition operators on $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ associated with lens maps on \mathbb{D} . More general statements about the range of possible decay rates for approximation numbers of C_φ on \mathcal{H}^1 can be worked out, using our transference principle and the methods of our recent work [27]. However, at present, we are not able to reach the same level of precision for approximation numbers of slow decay. This is related to a certain local embedding inequality, known to be valid only when p is an even integer; this is also the reason for the constraint in part (c) of Theorem 1.1. As to the success of our method when $p = 1$, the point is that we are able to resort to estimates for interpolating sequences for \mathcal{H}^2 , in contrast to what can be done for general $p > 1$. Indeed, essentially nothing is known about the interpolating sequences for \mathcal{H}^p when $1 < p < \infty, p \neq 2$.

Our study requires a fair amount of background material and function and operator theoretic results pertaining to \mathcal{H}^p . More specifically, the following list shows what will be covered in the remaining part of the paper:

- Section 2 is devoted to some preliminaries and definitions on operators and Banach spaces.
- In Section 3, we recall some general properties of \mathcal{H}^p : Schauder basis, Bohr lift, etc...
- In Section 4, we have collected a number of basic functional analytic results as well as more specific results about Carleson measures and interpolating sequences, including estimates relying on our previous work [26].
- Section 5 is devoted to a new Littlewood–Paley type formula for $\mathcal{H}^p, p \neq 2$, which is subsequently used to prove Theorem 1.3.
- Section 6 establishes general lower bounds for $a_n(C_\varphi)$ using norms of Carleson measures and constants of interpolation.
- Section 7 gives several proofs of Theorem 1.2.
- Section 8 shows the optimality of the previous bounds.
- The final Section 9 is devoted to our transference principle and to the proof of Theorem 1.4. We also discuss two basic problems (the local embedding inequality and

interpolating sequences for \mathcal{H}^p) that hinder further progress in our particular context as well as in our general understanding of \mathcal{H}^p .

Throughout the paper, we insist on exhibiting methods, and sometimes we give several proofs of the same result.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. s -numbers. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a bounded operator from a Banach space into itself, and let $(\lambda_n(T))_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence of its eigenvalues, arranged in descending order. We attach to this operator three sequences of so-called s -numbers, which dominate in a vague sense the sequence $(\lambda_n(T))_{n \geq 1}$ and whose decay is designed to evaluate the degree of compactness of T in a quantitative way:

(a) Approximation numbers

$$a_n(T) = \inf\{\|T - R\| : \text{rank } R < n\}$$

(b) Bernstein numbers

$$b_n(T) = \sup_{\dim E = n} \left[\inf_{x \in S_E} \|Tx\| \right]$$

(c) Gelfand numbers

$$c_n(T) = \inf\{\|T|_E\| : \text{codim } E < n\}.$$

Note that the first and third sequences are defined as min–max and the second as a max–min. We have

$$a_n(T) = b_n(T) = c_n(T) = a_n(T^*) = \lambda_n(|T|)$$

if X is a Hilbert space and $|T|$ denotes $\sqrt{T^*T}$ and

$$(2) \quad a_n(T) \geq \max(b_n(T), c_n(T)) \quad \text{and} \quad a_n(T) \geq a_n(T^*)$$

if X is a Banach space, where the latter inequality is an equality when T is compact. Moreover,

$$(3) \quad a_n(T) \leq 2\sqrt{n} c_n(T),$$

which follows from the fact that any n -dimensional subspace E of a Banach space X is complemented in X through a projection of norm at most \sqrt{n} [22, p. 114].

Finally, we will combine a basic property of composition operators with a matching property of approximation numbers:

(i) (Non abelian semi-group property)

$$C_{\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2} = C_{\varphi_2} \circ C_{\varphi_1}$$

(ii) (Ideal property)

$$a_n(ATB) \leq \|A\| a_n(T) \|B\|.$$

For detailed information on s -numbers, we refer to the articles [22, 23] or to the books [6, 24].

2.2. The Weyl inequalities of Johnson–König–Maurey–Retherford and Pietsch. We borrow the following theorem from the famous paper [14], which extended for the first time, under an additive form, the Weyl inequalities for Hilbert spaces to a Banach space setting.

Theorem 2.1 (Johnson–König–Maurey–Retherford). *Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a bounded and power-compact linear operator from a Banach space X to itself and $0 < r < \infty$. Then*

$$(4) \quad \|(\lambda_j(T))\|_{\ell^r} \leq c_r \| (a_j(T)) \|_{\ell^r}.$$

Soon after this result was established, Pietsch found the following multiplicative improvement (see [22, p. 156] or [23, Lemma 13]) which we will use as well.

Theorem 2.2 (Pietsch). *Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a bounded and power-compact linear operator from a Banach space X to itself. Then*

$$(5) \quad |\lambda_{2n}(T)| \leq e \left(\prod_{j=1}^n a_j(T) \right)^{1/n}.$$

3. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF \mathcal{H}^p

3.1. A Schauder basis for \mathcal{H}^p and the partial sum operator. We will make use of the following result, first found by Helson [12] (see also [28, p. 220]) in the framework of ordered groups and then reproved in a more concrete way in the context of \mathcal{H}^p -spaces by Aleman, Olsen, and Saksman [1].

Theorem 3.1 (Helson–Aleman–Olsen–Saksman). *For $1 < p < \infty$, the sequence $(e_n) = (n^{-s})$ is a (conditional) Schauder basis for \mathcal{H}^p .*

For every positive integer N , we define the partial sum operator $S_N : \mathcal{H}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^p$ by the relation

$$S_N \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n \right) := \sum_{n \leq N} x_n e_n.$$

In [1], Theorem 3.1 was obtained as a consequence of the uniform boundedness of S_N , i.e., the fact that there exists a constant $C = C_p$ such that

$$\|S_N f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$$

for every f in \mathcal{H}^p . It is a general functional analytic fact that a complete sequence is a Schauder basis for a Banach space X if and only if the associated partial sum operators S_N are uniformly bounded [16]. This leads to the following result.

Lemma 3.1 (Contraction principle for Schauder bases). *Let X be a Banach space and assume that $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a Schauder basis for X . Let N be a positive integer and $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq N}$ a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative numbers. Then for every $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n$ in X ,*

$$(6) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \geq N} \lambda_n x_n e_n \right\| \leq 2C \lambda_N \|f\|,$$

where $C = \sup_n \|S_n\|$.

Proof. We write $x_n e_n = S_n f - S_{n-1} f$ so that we get

$$\sum_{n \geq N} \lambda_n x_n e_n = -\lambda_N S_{N-1} f + \sum_{n \geq N} (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}) S_n f.$$

□

The sequence (n^{-s}) fails to be a basis for \mathcal{H}^1 , but not by much, as expressed by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a constant C such that*

$$(7) \quad \|S_N f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \leq C \log N \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}$$

for every f in \mathcal{H}^1 .

Proof. We can appeal to Helson's work [12] which deals with a compact connected group G and its ordered dual Γ . We take $G = \mathbb{T}^\infty$, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^{(\infty)}$ along with the order

$$\alpha \leq \beta \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha_j \log p_j \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} \beta_j \log p_j.$$

Here $\alpha = (\alpha_j)$, $\beta = (\beta_j)$, and (p_j) denotes the sequences of primes.

Given $0 < p < 1$, Helson's result implies fairly easily that

$$\|S_N f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \ll (\cos \pi p / 2)^{-1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^p \ll (1-p)^{-1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^p$$

when f is in \mathcal{H}^p . Let now $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n n^{-s}$ be in \mathcal{H}^1 . Observe that $|b_n| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}$ which implies the pointwise estimate $|S_N f| \leq N \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}$. Using the Bohr lift and integrating over \mathbb{T}^∞ with its Haar measure m_∞ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_N f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} &= \int |S_N f| dm_\infty = \int |S_N f|^{1-p} |S_N f|^p dm_\infty \\ &\leq N^{1-p} (\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1})^{1-p} \int |S_N f|^p dm_\infty \\ &\ll N^{1-p} (\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1})^{1-p} (1-p)^{-1} (\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1})^p = N^{1-p} (1-p)^{-1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now choosing $p = 1 - 1/\log N$, we arrive at (7) since then $N^{1-p} = e$. □

We will now give an alternate and self-contained proof of Theorem 3.2. This proof is an application of a simple but powerful identity shown by Eero Saksman to the second-named author [29], which most likely will have other applications in the study of the spaces \mathcal{H}^p . The initial application Saksman had in mind concerned the conjugate exponent $p = \infty$ or, in other words, the Banach algebra \mathcal{H}^∞ which consists of Dirichlet series that define bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{C}_0 . Equipped with the natural H^∞ norm on \mathbb{C}_0 , \mathcal{H}^∞ is isometrically equal to the multiplier algebra of \mathcal{H}^p [2, 11].

We will use the notation

$$\widehat{\psi}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-it\xi} \psi(t) dt$$

for the Fourier transform of a function ψ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. If ψ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-s}$ is a Dirichlet series that is absolutely convergent in some half-plane \mathbb{C}_θ , then the function

$$(8) \quad P_\psi f(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-s} \widehat{\psi}(\log n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s+it) \psi(t) dt, \quad s \in \mathbb{C}_\theta,$$

is again a Dirichlet series. It is clear that $P_\psi f$ is absolutely convergent wherever f is absolutely convergent. If ψ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\widehat{\psi}$ compactly supported and $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-s}$ is a Dirichlet series that is bounded in some half-plane, then we have the identity between $P_\psi f(s)$ and the integral on the right-hand side of (8) throughout that half-plane. If f is in \mathcal{H}^p for some p , then we may write

$$(9) \quad P_\psi f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (T_t f) \psi(t) dt,$$

where the right-hand side denotes a vector-valued integral in \mathcal{H}^p and $T_t : \mathcal{H}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^p$ is the vertical translation-operator defined by $T_t f(s) = f(s+it)$. The utility of (8) rests on the fact that T_t acts isometrically on \mathcal{H}^p for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Saksman's vertical convolution formula (8) can now be applied to yield the following result.

Lemma 3.2. *If ψ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-s}$ is in \mathcal{H}^p for some $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then*

$$(10) \quad \|P_\psi f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \|\psi\|_1.$$

Proof. By (9) and the vertical translation invariance of the norm in \mathcal{H}^p , we get

$$\|P_\psi f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|T_t f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} |\psi(t)| dt = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \|\psi\|_1.$$

□

We now turn to Saksman's alternate proof of Theorem 3.2. The idea is to choose ψ so that $\widehat{\psi}$ is smooth and $P_\psi f$ is a good approximation to $S_N f$. A suitable trade-off between these requirements can be made as follows. Let Δ_h be the indicator function of the interval $[-h, h]$ for $h > 0$, and let $\Lambda = (N/2)(\Delta_1 * \Delta_{1/N})$ be the even trapezoidal function with nodes at $1 - 1/N$ and $1 + 1/N$. Then $\Lambda(x) = 1$ for x in $[-(1 - 1/N), 1 - 1/N]$. We see that

$$\widehat{\Lambda}(\xi) = (N/2) \widehat{\Delta_1}(\xi) \widehat{\Delta_{1/N}}(\xi) = (N/2) \frac{\sin \xi \sin \xi/N}{\xi^2},$$

which implies that $\|\widehat{\Lambda}\|_1 \ll \log N$. We choose ψ by requiring that $\widehat{\psi}(x) = \Lambda(x/\log N)$. Then, by the Fourier inversion formula, we have $\|\psi\|_1 \ll \log N$. In addition, we observe that $P_\psi f$ differs from $S_N f = \sum_{n=1}^N b_n n^{-s}$ by at most

$$O[N(e^{\log N/N} - e^{-\log N/N})] = O(\log N)$$

terms that are all of size $\ll \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}$ since $|b_n| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}$. In view of (10), this ends the second proof of Theorem 3.2.

3.2. The Bohr lift. Let φ be a function in \mathcal{G} with $c_0 = 0$, and assume that $\overline{\varphi(\mathbb{C}_0)}$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. We define $\Delta : \mathbb{C}_{1/2} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^\infty \cap \ell^2$ by requiring $\Delta(s) := (p_j^{-s})$, where (p_j) denotes the sequence of primes. Then there exists a unique analytic map $\Phi : \mathbb{D}^\infty \cap \ell^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ such that

$$(11) \quad \Phi \circ \Delta = \varphi.$$

We call Φ the Bohr lift of φ ; we set $\Phi^*(z) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \Phi(rz)$, where z is a point in \mathbb{T}^∞ . We denote by m_∞ the Haar measure of \mathbb{T}^∞ and define the pullback measure μ_φ by

$$(12) \quad \mu_\varphi(E) := m_\infty(\{z \in \mathbb{T}^\infty : \Phi^*(z) \in E\}) = m_\infty((\Phi^*)^{-1}(E)).$$

We will need the following basic result about the Bohr lift [26].

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose that φ is in \mathcal{G} , $c_0 = 0$, and that $\overline{\varphi(\mathbb{C}_0)}$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. Then, for every f in \mathcal{H}^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have*

$$\|C_\varphi(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |f(\Phi^*(z))|^p dm_\infty(z) = \int_{\overline{\varphi(\mathbb{C}_0)}} |f(s)|^p d\mu_\varphi(s).$$

3.3. Type and cotype of $(\mathcal{H}^p)^*$. Let (ε_j) denote a Rademacher sequence and \mathbb{E} the expectation. We recall that a Banach space X is of type p^* with $1 \leq p^* \leq 2$ if, for some constant $C \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum \varepsilon_j x_j \right\| \leq C \left(\sum \|x_j\|^{p^*} \right)^{1/p^*}$$

for every finite sequence (x_j) of vectors from X . The smallest constant C , denoted by $T_{p^*}(X)$, is called the type p^* -constant of X . Similarly, a Banach space Y is said to be of cotype q^* with $2 \leq q^* \leq \infty$ if

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum \varepsilon_j y_j \right\| \geq C^{-1} \left(\sum \|y_j\|^{q^*} \right)^{1/q^*}$$

for every finite sequence (y_j) of vectors of Y . The smallest constant C , denoted by $C_{q^*}(Y)$, is called the cotype q^* -constant of Y .

As already mentioned, the space $X = \mathcal{H}^p$ is well understood as the subspace $H^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ of $L^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$, but it is not complemented in $L^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. This means that its dual Y is something rather mysterious. But the following fact will be sufficient for our purposes.

Lemma 3.3. *The Banach space $Y = (\mathcal{H}^p)^*$ is of cotype $\max(q, 2)$ where q is the conjugate exponent of p .*

Proof. \mathcal{H}^p is isometric to the subspace $H^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$ of $L^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. The latter space is of type $p^* = \min(p, 2)$ by Fubini's theorem and Khintchin's inequality. According to a result of Pisier (from [25], see also [18], [8, p. 220], or [16, p. 165]), its dual Y is of cotype $q^* = \max(q, 2)$ ($1/p + 1/q = 1$) and, moreover,

$$(13) \quad C_{q^*}(Y) \leq 2T_{p^*}(\mathcal{H}^p).$$

Hence the conclusion of the lemma follows. \square

3.4. Description of the spectrum of compact composition operators. A complete description of the eigenvalues of C_φ on \mathcal{H}^2 was given in [3]. We will show that this result is valid for \mathcal{H}^p as well:

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\varphi(s) = c_0 s + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n n^{-s}$ induce a compact operator on \mathcal{H}^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then, the eigenvalues of C_φ have multiplicity one and are*

- (a) $\lambda_n = [\varphi'(\alpha)]^{n-1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, when $c_0 = 0$, where α is the fixed point of φ in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$.
- (b) $\lambda_n = n^{-c_1}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, when $c_0 = 1$.

Proof. We adapt the proof of [3] to \mathcal{H}^p . To begin with, we set $E := \{[\varphi'(\alpha)]^{n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and let $\sigma(C_\varphi)$ be the spectrum of C_φ . For a given integer $m \geq 1$, consider the space \mathcal{K}_m defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_m = \text{span}(\delta_\alpha, \delta'_\alpha, \dots, \delta_\alpha^{(m)}) \subset (\mathcal{H}^p)^*,$$

where by definition $\delta_\alpha^{(k)}(f) = f^{(k)}(\alpha)$. This space is invariant under C_φ^* since $\varphi(\alpha) = \alpha$. It is also finite-dimensional, and the matrix of $(C_\varphi^*)|_{\mathcal{K}_m}$ on the natural basis of \mathcal{K}_m is upper triangular with diagonal elements $[\varphi'(\alpha)]^{n-1}$, $1 \leq n \leq m+1$. This shows that $E \subset \sigma(C_\varphi^*) = \sigma(C_\varphi)$. For the reverse inclusion, we use the compactness of C_φ and Königs's theorem [34, pp. 90–91] which in particular claims that $f \circ \varphi = \lambda f$ with f a non-zero analytic function in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ implies that $\lambda = [\varphi'(\alpha)]^k$ for some non-negative integer k and that the corresponding eigenfunctions generate a one-dimensional space of \mathcal{H}^p .

As for (b), set $E = \{n^{-c_1}, n \geq 1\}$. The proof of [3] still gives $\sigma(C_\varphi) \subset E \cup \{0\}$ for \mathcal{H}^p . Moreover, for a fixed integer m , the vector spaces \mathcal{K}_m and \mathcal{L}_m respectively generated by $1, 2^{-s}, \dots, m^{-s}$ and j^{-s} , $j > m$ are complementary, \mathcal{L}_m is stable by C_φ , \mathcal{K}_m is finite-dimensional, and the matrix of $C_\varphi|_{\mathcal{K}_m}$ is lower triangular with diagonal elements j^{-c_1} , $1 \leq j \leq m$. Therefore, $E \subset \sigma(C_\varphi)$ as in [3]. \square

The result of [3] stating that $\sigma(C_\varphi) = \{0, 1\}$ when $c_0 \geq 2$ also extends from \mathcal{H}^2 to \mathcal{H}^p , but this case will not be needed in this work and is omitted here.

3.5. Vertical translates. \mathbb{T}^∞ may be identified with the dual group of \mathbb{Q}_+ , where \mathbb{Q}_+ denotes the multiplicative discrete group of strictly positive rational numbers: given a point $z = (z_j)$ on T^∞ , we define a character χ on \mathbb{Q}_+ by its values at the primes by setting

$$\chi(2) = z_1, \chi(3) = z_2, \dots, \chi(p_m) = z_m, \dots$$

and by extending the definition multiplicatively. In the sequel, we will associate the character χ with the point (z_j) and refer to χ as well as a point on \mathbb{T}^∞ . In particular, we will be interested in properties that hold for almost all characters χ with respect to the Haar measure m_∞ on \mathbb{T}^∞ .

In [11] and [2], it was explained that it is useful to consider f_χ to get a more profound understanding of the function theoretic properties of f . For example, for almost all characters χ , the function f_χ can be extended to \mathbb{C}_0 . Moreover, we obtain another way to compute the norm of f (see [11, theorem 4.1] or [2, lemma 5]):

Lemma 3.4. *Let μ be a finite Borel measure on \mathbb{R} . Then :*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \mu(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_\chi(it)|^p d\mu(t) dm_\infty(\chi).$$

We shall need to extend our notation of vertical translates to the class of functions of the form $\varphi(s) = c_0 s + \psi(s) \in \mathcal{G}$. For such functions, φ_χ will mean

$$\varphi_\chi(s) = c_0 s + \psi_\chi(s).$$

The connection between the composition operators C_φ and C_{φ_χ} is clarified in [10], where it is proved that for every f in \mathcal{H}^p and every χ in \mathbb{T}^∞ ,

$$(f \circ \varphi)_\chi(s) = f_{\chi^{c_0}} \circ \varphi_\chi(s), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}_{1/2},$$

where χ^{c_0} is the character taking the value $[\chi(n)]^{c_0}$ at n . Moreover, for almost all χ in \mathbb{T}^∞ , this relation remains true in \mathbb{C}_0 .

4. CARLESON MEASURES AND SEQUENCES, AND INTERPOLATING SEQUENCES

4.1. The case $p < \infty$. We will denote by δ_s the functional of point evaluation on \mathcal{H}^p at the point $s = \sigma + it$ in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$, so that $\delta_s(f) = f(s)$. The norm of δ_s was computed by Cole and Gamelin [7] (see also [2]):

$$(14) \quad \|\delta_s\| = [\zeta(2\sigma)]^{1/p}.$$

It should be noted that $\zeta(2\sigma) \approx (2\sigma - 1)^{-1}$ when s is restricted to a set on which σ is uniformly bounded.

Let now μ be a nonnegative Borel measure on the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. We say that μ is a Carleson measure for \mathcal{H}^p if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f(s)|^p d\mu(s) \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p$$

holds for every f in \mathcal{H}^p . The smallest possible C in this inequality is called the \mathcal{H}^p Carleson norm of μ . We denote it by $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p}$ and declare that $\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p} = \infty$ if μ fails to be a Carleson measure for \mathcal{H}^p . Let next $S = (s_j)$ be a sequence of distinct points of $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. We say that this sequence is a Carleson sequence if the discrete measure

$$\mu_S = \sum_j \frac{\delta_{s_j}}{\|\delta_{s_j}\|^p}$$

is a Carleson measure for \mathcal{H}^p in the above sense. The Carleson \mathcal{H}^p norm $\|\mu_S\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p}$ is called the Carleson \mathcal{H}^p constant of S .

The will need the following estimate.

Lemma 4.1. *Let μ be a nonnegative Borel measure in the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ whose support is contained in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_\theta}$ for some $\theta > 1/2$. Then*

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p} \leq \begin{cases} [\zeta(2\theta)]^{(p-2)/p} \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^2}, & p \geq 2 \\ [\zeta(2\theta)] \|\mu\|, & 1 \leq p < 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For an arbitrary point $s = \sigma + it$ in the support of μ , we have

$$|f(s)| \leq [\zeta(2\sigma)]^{1/p} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq [\zeta(2\theta)]^{1/p} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}.$$

We infer from this that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f(s)|^p d\mu(s) = \int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f(s)|^{p-2} |f(s)|^2 d\mu(s) \leq [\zeta(2\theta)]^{(p-2)/p} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{C}_{1/2}} |f(s)|^2 d\mu(s).$$

Now the result follows since $\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$ when $p \geq 2$. The (poor) estimate in the case $p < 2$ is obvious. \square

The \mathcal{H}^p constant of interpolation $M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S)$ is defined as the infimum of the constants K with the following property: for every sequence (a_j) of complex numbers such that

$$\sum_j |a_j|^p \|\delta_{s_j}\|^{-p} < \infty,$$

there exists a function f in \mathcal{H}^p such that

$$f(s_j) = a_j \text{ for all } j \text{ and } \|f\|_p \leq K \left(\sum_j |a_j|^p \|\delta_{s_j}\|^{-p} \right)^{1/p}.$$

For a sequence S of distinct points in \mathbb{C}_0 , we will denote by $M_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}(S)$ the best constant K such that, for every bounded sequence (a_j) of complex numbers, there exists a function f in \mathcal{H}^∞ such that

$$f(s_j) = a_j \text{ for all } j \text{ and } \|f\|_\infty \leq K \sup_j |a_j|.$$

In the next lemma, we will use the following notation. Given a sequence $S = (\sigma_j + it_j)$ and a real number θ , we write $S + \theta := (\sigma_j + \theta + it_j)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $\theta > 1/2$, $\delta > 0$ and that $S = (s_j = \sigma_j + it_j)_{j=1}^n$ is a finite sequence in the half-plane $\mathbb{C}_{1/2+\delta}$. Then*

$$M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S) \leq [\zeta(2\theta)]^{1/\min(2,p)} \left(\frac{\zeta(1+2\delta)}{\zeta(1+2(\delta+\theta))} \right)^{1/\min(2,p)} n^{1/\min(2,p)-1/p} (M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S+\theta))^{2/\min(p,2)}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Proof. Given a sequence $(a_j)_{j=1}^n$, we find the minimal norm solution F to the interpolation problem $F(s_j + \theta) = a_j$ in \mathcal{H}^2 . From the definition of the constant of interpolation and (14), we get the basic estimate

$$(15) \quad \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \leq M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S+\theta) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^2 [\zeta(2(\sigma_j + \theta))]^{-1} \right)^{1/2}.$$

By our restriction on S and the fact that ζ'/ζ increases on $(1, \infty)$, we have

$$\frac{\zeta(2\sigma_j)}{\zeta(2(\sigma_j + \theta))} \leq \frac{\zeta(1+2\delta)}{\zeta(1+2(\delta + \theta))},$$

which gives

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \leq \left(\frac{\zeta(1+2\delta)}{\zeta(1+2(\delta+\theta))} \right)^{1/2} M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S+\theta) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^2 [\zeta(2\sigma_j)]^{-1} \right)^{1/2}$$

when inserted into (15). We first assume $1 \leq p < 2$. Using (14) which gives $|a_j| \leq \sqrt{\zeta(2\theta)} \|F\|_2$, we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} &\leq \left(\frac{\zeta(1+2\delta)}{\zeta(1+2(\delta+\theta))} \right)^{1/2} M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S+\theta) [\zeta(2\theta)]^{1/2-p/4} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^{1-p/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^p [\zeta(2\sigma_j)]^{-1} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} &\leq \left(\frac{\zeta(1+2\delta)}{\zeta(1+2(\delta+\theta))} \right)^{1/p} (M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S+\theta))^{2/p} [\zeta(2\theta)]^{1/p-1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^p \|\delta_{s_j}\|^{-p} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

When $2 \leq p < +\infty$, we simply use Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} &\leq \left(\frac{\zeta(1+2\delta)}{\zeta(1+2(\delta+\theta))} \right)^{1/2} M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S+\theta) n^{1/2-1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^p \|\delta_{s_j}\|^{-p} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

For $p = \infty$, we get

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} \leq M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S+\theta) n^{1/2} \sup_j |a_j|.$$

We now observe that the shifted function $G(s) = F(s+\theta)$ is in \mathcal{H}^∞ since $\theta > 1/2$, and that $G(s_j) = a_j$ as well. Hence

$$\|G\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq \|G\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty} \leq \sqrt{\zeta(2\theta)} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}.$$

□

The preceding lemma is useful because we have good estimates for constants of interpolation in the \mathcal{H}^2 setting, thanks to the following key result from [26]. Here we use the notation S_R for the subsequence of points s_j from S that satisfy $|\operatorname{Im} s_j| \leq R$.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $S = (s_j = \sigma_j + it_j)$ is an interpolating sequence for $H^2(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})$ and that there exists a number $\theta > 1/2$ such that $1/2 < \sigma_j \leq \theta$ for every j . Then there exists a constant C , depending on θ , such that*

$$(16) \quad M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S_R) \leq C [M_{H^2(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})}(S)]^{2\theta+6} R^{2\theta+7/2}$$

whenever $R \geq \theta + 1$.

This result is based on [32] and relies on quite involved estimates for solutions of the $\bar{\partial}$ equation.

We mention finally the remarkable fact that, in general

$$(17) \quad M_{\mathcal{H}^1}(S) \leq [M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S)]^2$$

whenever S is an interpolating sequence for \mathcal{H}^2 . This bound follows from the observation (see [21]) that we may solve $f(s_j) = a_j$ in \mathcal{H}^1 by first solving $g(s_j) = \sqrt{a_j}$ in \mathcal{H}^2 and then setting $f = g^2$. Inequality (17) is the reason \mathcal{H}^1 stands out as a distinguished case in our context; for other values of p , we do not know how to obtain a nontrivial bound for $M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S)$ when $\operatorname{Re} s_j \rightarrow 1/2$, and essentially nothing is known about the \mathcal{H}^p interpolating sequences.

4.2. The case $p = \infty$. If H^∞ denotes the Banach space of bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{C}_0 and if $S = (s_j)$ is a sequence of points in \mathbb{C}_0 , we define the interpolation constant $M_{H^\infty}(S)$ as the infimum of constants C such that for any bounded sequence (a_j) , the interpolation problem $a_j = f(s_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, has a solution $f \in H^\infty$ such that $\|f\|_\infty \leq C \sup_j |a_j|$. We will make use of a result of the third-named author [31], which can be rephrased as follows:

Theorem 4.1. *Let S be a subset of \mathbb{C}_0 , bounded by K . Then, there exists a positive constant γ , depending only on K , such that*

$$(18) \quad M_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}(S) \ll [M_{H^\infty}(S)]^\gamma.$$

This result is implicit in [31]; it is obtained by combining the interpolation theorem of Berndtsson and al. [4] (see [31, Lemma 3]) with [31, Lemma 4].

5. A LITTLEWOOD–PALEY FORMULA FOR \mathcal{H}^p AND PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Our new Littlewood–Paley formula reads as follows (abbreviating $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$ to $\|\cdot\|_p$.)

Theorem 5.1. *Let μ be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} and $p \geq 1$. Then*

$$(19) \quad \|f\|_p^p \asymp |b_1|^p + \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma |f_\chi(s)|^{p-2} |f'_\chi(s)|^2 d\mu(t) d\sigma dm_\infty(\chi)$$

holds for every Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n n^{-s}$ in \mathcal{H}^p .

The notation $u(f) \asymp v(f)$ means as usual that there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that for every f in question, $C^{-1}u(f) \leq v(f) \leq Cu(f)$.

Proof. We start from the Littlewood–Paley formula for $H^p(\mathbb{D})$, which appears for instance in [35]: We have

$$\|g\|_{H^p(\mathbb{D})}^p \asymp |g(0)|^p + \int \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |g(z)|^{p-2} |g'(z)|^2 d\lambda(z)$$

when g is in $H^p(\mathbb{D})$, where now $d\lambda$ denotes Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} . Next we let f be a Dirichlet polynomial, $\xi > 0$, and consider the Cayley transform

$$\omega_\xi(z) = \xi \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \quad \omega_\xi^{-1}(s) = \frac{s-\xi}{s+\xi}.$$

By Lemma 3.4,

$$(20) \quad \|f\|_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_\chi(it)|^p \frac{\xi}{\pi(\xi^2 + t^2)} dt \right) dm(\chi).$$

For fixed χ on \mathbb{T}^∞ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_\chi(it)|^p \frac{\xi}{\pi(\xi^2 + t^2)} dt &= \|f_\chi \circ \omega_\xi\|_{H^p(\mathbb{D})}^p \\ &\asymp |f_\chi(\xi)|^p + \int \int_{\mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) |f_\chi \circ \omega_\xi(z)|^{p-2} |f'_\chi \circ \omega_\xi(z)|^2 |\omega'_\xi(z)|^2 d\lambda(z). \end{aligned}$$

By using the change of variables $s = \sigma + it = \omega_\xi(z)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_\chi(it)|^p \frac{\xi}{\pi(\xi^2 + t^2)} dt &\asymp |f_\chi(\xi)|^p + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 - \frac{|s - \xi|^2}{|s + \xi|^2} \right) |f_\chi(s)|^{p-2} |f'_\chi(s)|^2 dt d\sigma \\ &\asymp |f_\chi(\xi)|^p + \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\sigma \xi}{(\sigma + \xi)^2 + t^2} |f_\chi(s)|^{p-2} |f'_\chi(s)|^2 dt d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate this over \mathbb{T}^∞ . In view of (20), this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_p^p &\asymp \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |f_\chi(\xi)|^p dm_\infty(\chi) + \\ &\quad \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\sigma \xi}{\sigma + \xi} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_\chi(s)|^{p-2} |f'_\chi(s)|^2 \frac{\sigma + \xi}{\pi((\sigma + \xi)^2 + t^2)} dt dm_\infty(\chi) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Using again Lemma 3.4, which is valid for any finite Borel measure, we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_\chi(s)|^{p-2} |f'_\chi(s)|^2 \frac{\sigma + \xi}{\pi((\sigma + \xi)^2 + t^2)} dt dm_\infty(\chi) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_\chi(s)|^{p-2} |f'_\chi(s)|^2 d\mu(t) dm_\infty(\chi).$$

Finally, we conclude by letting ξ tend to infinity. □

In the sequel, it will be convenient to set $f(+\infty) := b_1$ in (19).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let (f_n) be a sequence in \mathcal{H}^p that converges weakly to zero. We will let $f_{n,\chi}$ denote the vertical limit function of f_n with respect to the character χ . By assumption (a) of Theorem 1.3, there exists a positive number A such that $|\operatorname{Im} \psi| \leq A$. This implies that $|\operatorname{Im} \psi_\chi| \leq A$ for any χ on \mathbb{T}^∞ . By the Littlewood–Paley formula, setting $w = u + iv$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi(f_n)\|_p^p &\asymp |C_\varphi f_n(+\infty)|^p \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^1 u |f_{n,\chi^{c_0}}(\varphi_\chi(w))|^{p-2} |f'_{n,\chi^{c_0}}(\varphi_\chi(w))|^2 |\varphi'_\chi(w)|^2 dv du dm_\infty(\chi). \end{aligned}$$

Our assumption on f_n implies that $|f_n(+\infty)|$ and hence $C_\varphi f_n(+\infty)$ tend to zero. In the innermost integral, we use the non-univalent change of variables $s = \sigma + it = \varphi_\chi(u + iv)$. Observe that, for every t in $[0, 1]$, $-A \leq \operatorname{Im} s \leq A + c_0$, whence

$$\|C_\varphi(f_n)\|_p^p \ll o(1) + \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-A}^{A+c_0} |f_{n,\chi^{c_0}}(s)|^{p-2} |f'_{n,\chi^{c_0}}(s)|^2 \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_\chi}(s) dt d\sigma dm_\infty(\chi).$$

We now use assumption (b) of Theorem 1.3 in the following way. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, we let $\theta > 0$ be such that $\mathcal{N}_\varphi(s) \leq \varepsilon \operatorname{Re} s$ whenever $\operatorname{Re} s < \theta$. We split the integral over \mathbb{R}_+ into $\int_0^\theta + \int_\theta^{+\infty}$. For the first integral, say $I_0 := \int_0^\theta$, we use that $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_\chi}(s) \leq \varepsilon \operatorname{Re} s$ for any χ on \mathbb{T}^∞ and any s with $\operatorname{Re} s < \theta$ (see [3, Proposition 4]). Using again the Littlewood–Paley formula, we get that there exists some constant $C > 0$ such that

$$I_0 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_0^\theta \int_{-A}^{A+c_0} |f_{n,\chi^{c_0}}(s)|^{p-2} |f'_{n,\chi^{c_0}}(s)|^2 \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_\chi}(s) dt d\sigma dm_\infty(\chi) \leq C\varepsilon \|f_n\|_p^p.$$

For the second integral, say $I_\infty := \int_\theta^\infty$, we observe that $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_\chi}(s) \leq \frac{1}{c_0} \operatorname{Re} s$ (see [2, Proposition 3]), so that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\infty &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_\theta^{+\infty} \int_{-A}^{A+c_0} |f_{n,\chi^{c_0}}(s)|^{p-2} |f'_{n,\chi^{c_0}}(s)|^2 \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_\chi}(s) dt d\sigma dm_\infty(\chi) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_\theta^{+\infty} \int_{-A}^{A+c_0} \sigma |f_{n,\chi^{c_0}}(s)|^{p-2} |f'_{n,\chi^{c_0}}(s)|^2 dt d\sigma dm_\infty(\chi) \\ &= \frac{1}{c_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_\theta^{+\infty} \int_{-A}^{A+c_0} \sigma |f_{n,\chi}(s)|^{p-2} |f'_{n,\chi}(s)|^2 dt d\sigma dm_\infty(\chi) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\theta/2}^{+\infty} \int_{-A}^{A+c_0} (\sigma + \theta/2) |f_{n,\chi}(s + \theta/2)|^{p-2} |f'_{n,\chi}(s + \theta/2)|^2 dt d\sigma dm_\infty(\chi) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{c_0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} \int_{\theta/2}^{+\infty} \int_{-A}^{A+c_0} \sigma |f_{n,\chi}(s + \theta/2)|^{p-2} |f'_{n,\chi}(s + \theta/2)|^2 dt d\sigma dm_\infty(\chi) \\ &\ll \|f_n(\cdot + \theta/2)\|_p^p, \end{aligned}$$

and this last quantity goes to zero since the horizontal translation operator $f(s) \mapsto f(s + \theta/2)$ acts compactly on \mathcal{H}^p . \square

6. TWO GENERAL LOWER BOUNDS

We will let q denote the conjugate exponent of p . The evaluation δ_s at s is in $(\mathcal{H}^p)^*$ and, by (14), $\|\delta_s\| = [\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s)]^{1/p}$ when p is any real number ≥ 1 . Observe that $\delta_s / \|\delta_s\|$ converges weakly to 0 as $\operatorname{Re} s \rightarrow 1/2$ and that $C_\varphi^*(\delta_s) = \delta_{\varphi(s)}$, so that a necessary condition for compactness of $C_\varphi : \mathcal{H}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^p$ is that

$$\lim_{\operatorname{Re} s \rightarrow 1/2} \frac{\|\delta_{\varphi(s)}\|}{\|\delta_s\|} = \lim_{\operatorname{Re} s \rightarrow 1/2} \left(\frac{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} \varphi(s))}{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s)} \right)^{1/p} = 0.$$

It is therefore not surprising to see the latter quotient appearing in our general estimates for $a_n(C_\varphi)$ in the two theorems given below. These results represent two different ways of obtaining lower bounds for the quantities $a_n(C_\varphi)$ via respectively \mathcal{H}^∞ interpolation and \mathcal{H}^p interpolation.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that $\varphi(s) = c_0 s + \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n n^{-s}$ determines a compact composition operator C_φ on \mathcal{H}^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $S = (s_j)$ and $S' = (s'_j)$ be finite sets in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$, both of cardinality*

n , such that $\varphi(s'_j) = s_j$ for every j . Then we have

$$(21) \quad a_n(C_\varphi) \geq \rho_p n^{-(1/\min(2,p)-1/p)} [M_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}(S)]^{-1} \|\mu_{S'}\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p}^{-1/p} \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(\frac{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s_j)}{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s'_j)} \right)^{1/p},$$

where ρ_p is a constant depending only on p .

Proof. As already noted, the transpose $C_\varphi^* : (\mathcal{H}^p)^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}^p)^*$ still verifies in an obvious way the mapping equation

$$C_\varphi^*(\delta_a) = \delta_{\varphi(a)},$$

which was used extensively in our previous work [26]. We will use the inequality (2) in the form

$$a_n(C_\varphi) \geq a_n(C_\varphi^*) \geq b_n(C_\varphi^*)$$

and minorate the latter quantity. For clarity, we separate the proof into two parts.

Case 1: $p \geq 2$. Let E be the space generated by $\delta_{s'_1}, \dots, \delta_{s'_n}$. This is an n -dimensional space. Let $L = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \delta_{s'_j}$ be an element in the unit sphere S_E of E . If f is in \mathcal{H}^p , then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in \mathbb{C}^n implies that

$$|L(f)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j f(s'_j) \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \Lambda_j F_j \right| \leq \|\Lambda\|_2 \|F\|_2,$$

where we have set

$$\Lambda_j := \lambda_j \|\delta_{s'_j}\| \quad \text{and} \quad F_j := f(s'_j) \|\delta_{s'_j}\|^{-1}$$

as well as

$$\Lambda := (\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n) \quad \text{and} \quad F := (F_1, \dots, F_n).$$

Since L is assumed to be in the unit sphere of E , Hölder's inequality now implies that

$$(22) \quad 1 \leq n^{1/2-1/p} \|\Lambda\|_2 (\|\mu_{S'}\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p})^{1/p}.$$

Next we observe that the sequence δ_{s_j} is unconditional with constant $\leq M_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}(S) =: M_S$, i.e.,

$$(23) \quad M_S^{-1} \left\| \sum \omega_j \lambda_j \delta_{s_j} \right\| \leq \left\| \sum \lambda_j \delta_{s_j} \right\| \leq M_S \left\| \sum \omega_j \lambda_j \delta_{s_j} \right\|$$

for any choice of scalars λ_j and unimodular scalars ω_j . To see this, we first set

$$\Phi = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \delta_{s_j}, \quad \Phi_\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j \lambda_j \delta_{s_j}.$$

If h in \mathcal{H}^∞ verifies $h(s_j) = \omega_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $\|h\|_\infty \leq M_S$, then we see that, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}^p$, $\Phi_\omega(f) = \Phi(hf)$. Since \mathcal{H}^∞ is isometrically equal to the multiplier algebra of \mathcal{H}^p , we therefore get that

$$|\Phi_\omega(f)| \leq \|\Phi\| \|hf\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq \|\Phi\| \|h\|_\infty \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq M_S \|\Phi\| \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}.$$

This gives the left-hand inequality of (23). The right-hand inequality readily follows, replacing λ_j by $\lambda_j \omega_j$ and ω_j by $\overline{\omega_j}$. Averaging with respect to independent choices of ω_j (Rademacher

variables) and using Lemma 3.3 and (13), we get from the left-hand side of (23), setting $\rho_p = [2T_2(\mathcal{H}^p)]^{-1}$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\| &\geq M_S^{-1} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum \omega_j \lambda_j \delta_{s_j} \right\| \geq M_S^{-1} \rho_p \left(\sum |\lambda_j|^2 \|\delta_{s_j}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq M_S^{-1} \rho_p \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{\|\delta_{s_j}\|}{\|\delta_{s'_j}\|} \left(\sum |\lambda_j|^2 \|\delta_{s'_j}\|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the mapping equation, $C_\varphi^*(L) = \Phi$, and hence we get

$$\|C_\varphi^*(L)\| \geq \rho_p M_S^{-1} \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(\frac{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s_j)}{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s'_j)} \right)^{1/p} \|\Lambda\|_2.$$

Using (22), we finally obtain

$$\|C_\varphi^*(L)\| \geq \rho_p n^{-(1/2-1/p)} M_S^{-1} \|\mu_{S'}\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p}^{-1/p} \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(\frac{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s_j)}{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s'_j)} \right)^{1/p}.$$

This implies the desired result since $b_n(C_\varphi^*) \geq \inf_{L \in S_E} \|C_\varphi^*(L)\|$.

Case 2: $1 \leq p < 2$. We follow word for word the same route, with Hölder instead of Cauchy-Schwarz and $(\mathcal{H}^p)^*$ of cotype q (see Lemma 3.3). In the special case $p = 1$, we have $q = \infty$, but then (23) implies

$$\sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\lambda_j| \|\delta_{s_j}\| \leq M_S \left\| \sum_j \lambda_j \delta_{s_j} \right\|$$

so that

$$\inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{\|\delta_{s_j}\|}{\|\delta_{s'_j}\|} \|\Lambda\|_\infty \leq \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\lambda_j| \|\delta_{s_j}\| \leq M_S \|C_\varphi^*(L)\|.$$

We thus obtain for all $1 \leq p < 2$ the two inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \|\Lambda\|_q (\|\mu_{S'}\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p})^{1/p}, \\ \|C_\varphi^*(L)\| &\geq \rho_p M_S^{-1} \|\Lambda\|_q \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{\|\delta_{s_j}\|}{\|\delta_{s'_j}\|} \geq \rho_p M_S^{-1} \|\mu_{S'}\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p}^{-1/p} \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(\frac{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s_j)}{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s'_j)} \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_p = (2T_p(\mathcal{H}^p))^{-1}$ for $1 < p < 2$ and $\rho_1 = 1$. This takes care of the second case and ends the proof of Theorem 6.1. \square

We turn to the bound for $a_n(C_\varphi)$ using \mathcal{H}^p interpolation.

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose that $\varphi(s) = c_0 s + \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n n^{-s}$ determines a compact composition operator C_φ on \mathcal{H}^p . Let $S = (s_j)$ and $S' = (s'_j)$ be finite sets in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$, both of cardinality n , such that $\varphi(s'_j) = s_j$ for every j . Then we have*

$$(24) \quad a_n(C_\varphi) \geq n^{-(1/\min(2,p)-1/p)} [M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S)]^{-1} \|\mu_{S'}\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p}^{-1/p} \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(\frac{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s_j)}{\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s'_j)} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. The proof begins like that of Theorem 6.1, using the Bernstein numbers of the transpose of $C_\varphi : \mathcal{H}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^p$. We have once again

$$(25) \quad 1 \leq n^{1/\min(2,p)-1/p} \|\Lambda\|_2 (\|\mu_{S'}\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p})^{1/p}.$$

From now on, we no longer appeal to cotype and \mathcal{H}^∞ interpolation, but to \mathcal{H}^p interpolation and a Boas-type lower bound, namely

$$\left\| \sum_j \lambda_j \delta_{s_j} \right\| \geq [M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S)]^{-1} \left(\sum_j |\lambda_j|^q \|\delta_{s_j}\|^q \right)^{1/q} \geq [M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S)]^{-1} \|\Lambda\|_2.$$

Here the latter inequality holds since $q \leq 2$ and therefore $\|\Lambda\|_{\ell^q} \geq \|\Lambda\|_{\ell^2}$. The first inequality is proved by duality as follows. Write

$$\left(\sum_j |\lambda_j|^q \|\delta_{s_j}\|^q \right)^{1/q} = \sum_j c_j \lambda_j \|\delta_{s_j}\|, \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_j |c_j|^p = 1.$$

Observe that $\sum_j (|c_j| \|\delta_{s_j}\|)^p \|\delta_{s_j}\|^{-p} = 1$ so that $c_j \|\delta_{s_j}\| = f(s_j)$ for some $f \in \mathcal{H}^p$ with norm $\leq M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S)$. We finally get

$$\left(\sum_j |\lambda_j|^q \|\delta_{s_j}\|^q \right)^{1/q} = \sum_j \lambda_j f(s_j) = \Phi(f) \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \|\Phi\| \leq M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S) \left\| \sum_j \lambda_j \delta_{s_j} \right\|.$$

Using (25) and the bound $\|\Phi\| \geq [M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S)]^{-1} \|\Lambda\|_2$, we conclude the proof in the same way as we did in the proof of Theorem 6.1. \square

The difficulty in applying Theorems 6.1 or 6.2 is that it is in general difficult to get good estimates for $M_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}(S)$, $M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S)$ or $\|\mu_S\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p}$. We will later see some special cases in which this is in fact possible.

7. PROOF OF THE BOUNDS IN THEOREM 1.2

Part (a). We present two proofs: a sketchy one, based on the spectral properties of C_φ , and a detailed one, based on Theorem 6.1, illustrating the utility of \mathcal{H}^∞ interpolation.

The first approach uses the spectrum $\sigma(C_\varphi)$ of C_φ on \mathcal{H}^p described in Theorem 3.4:

$$(26) \quad \sigma(C_\varphi) = \{0\} \cup \left\{ [\varphi'(\alpha)]^k, \ k = 0, 1, \dots \right\}.$$

We can moreover assume that $r_0 = |\varphi'(\alpha)| > 0$, as in Lemma 6.1 of [26]. Finally, (26), Theorem 2.2, and a tauberian argument show that

$$a_n(C_\varphi) \gg r_0^{8n}.$$

The second approach goes as follows. Let Δ be an open disc whose closure is contained in $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. Clearly $\varphi(\Delta)$ contains a closed disc $\overline{D}(a, r) := \{s : |s - a| \leq r\}$ with $0 < r < 1$. Set

$$S = \{s_j := a + r\omega^j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}, \quad \text{where } \omega = e^{2i\pi/n},$$

and let $S' = \{s'_j\}$ be a set of n distinct points from Δ such that

$$\varphi(s'_j) = s_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

We introduce the associated Blaschke product

$$B(s) := \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{s - s_j}{s + \overline{s_j} - 1} = \frac{(s - a)^n - r^n}{(s + \overline{a} - 1)^n - r^n}$$

and find, by an elementary computation, that the uniform separation constant

$$\delta(S) = \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} (2\sigma_j - 1)|B'(s_j)|$$

of S verifies

$$(27) \quad \delta(S) \gg r^n.$$

It is known that $M_{H^\infty}(S) \leq (2e + 4e|\log \delta(S)|)/\delta(S)$ [15, p. 268], where $M_{H^\infty}(S)$ denotes the constant of interpolation for the space $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_0)$ of bounded, analytic functions on \mathbb{C}_0 .

After having made this choice of S and S' , we now estimate each of the three terms appearing on the right-hand side of (21) of Theorem 6.1 with help of Theorem 4.1. We first claim that

$$(28) \quad M_{\mathcal{H}^\infty}(S) \ll r^{-(\gamma+\varepsilon)n}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Indeed, it follows from (27) and the relations between interpolation and uniform separation constants that $M_{H^\infty}(S) \ll [1/\delta(S)]^{1+\varepsilon} \ll r^{-(1+\varepsilon)n}$, and then (18) gives the result. We find next that

$$(29) \quad \|\mu_{S'}\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}^p} \ll n.$$

This is a consequence of Lemma 4.1 since $S' = (s'_j)$ is uniformly bounded and lies far from the boundary $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/2$. Finally, we observe that

$$(30) \quad \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \frac{\|\delta_{s_j}\|}{\|\delta_{s'_j}\|} \gg 1.$$

This is immediate since $\|\delta_{s_j}\| \geq 1$ and $\|\delta_{s'_j}\| = O(1)$ as S' remains far from the boundary when n increases.

Now part (a) of Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 6.1 if we put together (28), (29), and (30); taking into account the factors n and $n^{-(1/2-1/p)}$, we observe that we may choose $\delta = r^{\gamma+\varepsilon}$ for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

Part (b): $c_0 = 1$. We first prove (1) by applying Theorem 2.1 with $r = 1/(\operatorname{Re} c_1)$. By Theorem 3.4, the left-hand side of (4) is infinite. It follows that the right-hand side is infinite as well, whence the result follows. Our proof of (1) given above does not lead to a pointwise estimate of $a_n(C_\varphi) =: a_n$. To achieve this, we use (5) with N in place of n , where $N > 2n$ is an integer to be chosen later. We set $\gamma_1 = \operatorname{Re} c_1$ and use that $\lambda_j(C_\varphi) = j^{-c_1}$ to obtain

$$2^{-\gamma_1} N^{-\gamma_1} = (2N)^{-\gamma_1} \leq e(a_1 \cdots a_N)^{1/N} \leq e(a_1^n a_n^{(N-n)})^{1/N} = e a_1^{n/N} a_n^{(N-n)/N}.$$

This implies that

$$a_n \geq 2^{-\gamma_1 N/(N-n)} e^{-N/(N-n)} a_1^{-n/(N-n)} N^{-\gamma_1 N/(N-n)} \gg N^{-\gamma_1 N/(N-n)},$$

which we now write as

$$a_n \gg N^{-\gamma_1} N^{-\gamma_1 n/(N-n)} = N^{-\gamma_1} e^{-\gamma_1 n \log N/(N-n)}.$$

Choosing N as the integer part of $n \log n + 2$ and noting that $\frac{n \log N}{N-n} \rightarrow 1$, we finally get

$$a_n \gg (n \log n)^{-\gamma_1}$$

as claimed.

It may be observed that the latter argument gives an alternate proof of (1).

Part (c): $c_0 \geq 2$. In this case, the spectrum is reduced to $\{0, 1\}$ (see Subsection 3.4), so that the previous proof does not work. We will proceed differently and use Bernstein numbers and a properly chosen n -dimensional space E . This new argument will in fact work also when $c_0 = 1$ and give an alternate proof for that case.

Our proof is based on the following lemma which exploits the fact that the collection of linear functions constitute an infinite-dimensional subspace of $H^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)$. In what follows, we let $\Omega(N)$ denote the number of prime factors in N counted with their multiplicity, and we let P_{c_0} denote orthogonal projection from \mathcal{H}^2 onto its subspace generated by the basis vectors N^{-s} with $\Omega(N) = c_0$.

Lemma 7.1. *Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Suppose that $\varphi(s) = c_0 s + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j j^{-s}$ is in \mathcal{G} with $c_0 \geq 1$. Let E be the n -dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H}^p spanned by the unit vectors $p_1^{-s}, p_2^{-s}, \dots, p_n^{-s}$. Then for every $f(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k p_k^{-s}$ in E , we have*

$$(31) \quad P_{c_0} C_\varphi f(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k p_k^{-c_1} (p_k^{c_0})^{-s} \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_{c_0} C_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq \|C_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}.$$

Proof. We know from [10] that the following formal computation is allowed to determine the Dirichlet coefficients of $C_\varphi(p_k^{-s})$, $1 \leq k \leq n$:

$$C_\varphi(p_k^{-s}) = p_k^{-c_0 s} p_k^{-c_1} \prod_{j=2}^{\infty} (1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-c_j \log p_k)^l}{l!} j^{-ls}) =: p_k^{-c_0 s} p_k^{-c_1} (1 + \sum_{m \geq 2} \alpha_{k,m} m^{-s}),$$

so that $P_{c_0} C_\varphi f$ can be expressed as stated in (31). For the norm estimate, using the Bohr lift, we note that for $h(s) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \beta_N N^{-s}$, the formula

$$Q(h)(s) = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \beta_N e^{i\Omega(N)\theta} N^{-s} \right) e^{-ic_0\theta} d\theta$$

defines a norm-one projection from \mathcal{H}^p to its subspace generated by the vectors N^{-s} with $\Omega(N) = c_0$. But this means that

$$\|P_{c_0} C_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} = \|Q C_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \leq \|C_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p},$$

which gives the second part of (31). □

We are now ready to prove part (c) of Theorem 1.2. Choose E as in Lemma 7.1 and let f be a vector in the unit sphere of E . By Lemma 7.1 and the Bohr lift, we get

$$\|C_\varphi(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \geq \|P_{c_0} C_\varphi f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} \geq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_k p_k^{-c_1} z_k^{c_0} \right\|_{H^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_k p_k^{-c_1} z_k \right\|_{H^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)},$$

where we for the last relation used the invariance of the Haar measure m_∞ of \mathbb{T}^∞ under the transformation $(z_j) \mapsto (z_j^{c_0})$. Applying the Khintchin inequality for the Steinhaus variables z_j twice, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}^p} &\gg \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_k p_k^{-c_1} z_k \right\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} \geq p_n^{-\operatorname{Re} c_1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_k z_k \right\|_{H^2(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} \\ &\gg p_n^{-\operatorname{Re} c_1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n c_k z_k \right\|_{H^p(\mathbb{T}^\infty)} = p_n^{-\operatorname{Re} c_1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$a_n(C_\varphi) \geq b_n(C_\varphi) \gg p_n^{-\operatorname{Re} c_1}.$$

By the Tchebycheff form of the prime number theorem, $p_n \ll n \log n$, and so the desired estimate follows.

8. OPTIMALITY OF THE BOUNDS IN THEOREM 1.2

The bounds (a), (b), (c) of Theorem 1.2 are optimal in view of the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose that c_0 is a nonnegative integer and that $\varphi(s) = c_0 s + \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n n^{-s}$ generates a bounded composition operator C_φ on \mathcal{H}^p for some $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

- (a) *If $c_0 = 0$ and $\Omega := \overline{\varphi(\mathbb{C}_0)} \subset \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ is compact, then $a_n(C_\varphi) \ll \delta^n$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$.*
- (b) *If $c_0 \geq 1$, and if $\varphi(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathbb{C}_A$ for some $A > 0$, then $a_n(C_\varphi) \ll n^{-A}$ if $p > 1$ and $a_n(C_\varphi) \ll (\log n) n^{-A}$ if $p = 1$.*

Proof. We split the proof into three parts.

Part (a). We recall that the n th Gelfand number $c_n(C_\varphi)$ of an operator T on \mathcal{H}^p is

$$c_n(T) = \inf_E \|T|_E\|,$$

where E runs over all subspaces of \mathcal{H}^p of codimension $< n$. Let E_0 be the subspace of \mathcal{H}^p defined by

$$E_0 = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^p : f(s_0) = f'(s_0) = \dots = f^{(n-1)}(s_0) = 0\},$$

where $\operatorname{Re} s_0 \geq \theta$ and $\theta = \inf_{s \in \Omega} \operatorname{Re} s > 1/2$. This is a subspace of codimension $< n + 1$. We will first prove that

$$(32) \quad \|C_\varphi|_{E_0}\|^p \leq \sup_{s \in \Omega} |B(s)|^p \zeta(1/2 + \theta),$$

where B is the “adapted” Blaschke product

$$B(s) = \left(\frac{s - s_0}{s - (1/2 + \theta) + \overline{s_0}} \right)^n$$

which is of modulus 1 on the vertical line $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/4 + \theta/2$. We set

$$r := \sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}_\theta} \left| \frac{s - s_1}{s + \overline{s_1} - 1} \right| < 1$$

and $M := \sup_{s \in \Omega} |B(s)| = r^{n-1}$.

We now choose an arbitrary f in E_0 . This f can be written $f = Bh$ with h having the same supremum as f on the vertical line $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/4 + \theta/2$. Using the maximum principle, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in \Omega} |f(s)|^p &\leq \sup_{s \in \Omega} |B(s)|^p \sup_{s \in \Omega} |h(s)|^p = M^p \sup_{s \in \partial\Omega} |h(s)|^p = M^p \sup_{s \in \partial\Omega} |f(s)|^p \\ &\leq M^p \zeta(1/2 + \theta) \|f\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

We use the pullback measure μ_φ defined by (12) and the set $\Omega := \overline{\varphi(\mathbb{C}_0)}$. Using the lifting identity (11) of Theorem 3.3, we then get

$$\|C_\varphi(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |f(\Phi^*(z))|^p dm_\infty(z) = \int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\mu_\varphi.$$

We infer from this that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\varphi(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^\infty} |f(\Phi^*(z))|^p dm_\infty(z) = \int_{\Phi^*(z) \in \Omega} |f(\Phi^*(z))|^p dm_\infty(z) \\ &\leq \left[M^p \zeta(1/2 + \theta) \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

which gives (32). It follows that

$$[c_n(C_\varphi)]^p \leq \|C_\varphi|E_0\|^p \leq \left[M^p \zeta(1/2 + \theta) \right]^{1/p}.$$

Inequality (3), which states that $a_n(C_\varphi) \leq 2\sqrt{n} c_n(C_\varphi)$, finally gives

$$a_n(C_\varphi) \leq 2\sqrt{n} r^{n-1} [\zeta(1/2 + \theta)]^{1/p}.$$

Part (b), $p > 1$. We consider first the special case in which $\varphi(s) = s + A$ and $A > 0$. This function is seen to belong to \mathcal{G} . For a given integer $n \geq 2$, let R the $(n-1)$ -rank operator defined by

$$Rf := \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j^{-A} x_j e_j,$$

where $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j e_j$. It follows that $C_\varphi f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-A} x_j e_j$ and that

$$(C_\varphi - R)f = \sum_{j \geq n} j^{-A} x_j e_j.$$

Now the contraction principle (6) with $\lambda_j = j^{-A}$ gives

$$a_n(C_\varphi) \leq \|C_\varphi - R\| \leq 2Cn^{-A},$$

which settles our special case.

In the general case, we write $\varphi = T_A \circ \varphi_A$, where

$$\varphi_A(s) = \varphi(s) - A \quad \text{and} \quad T_A(s) = s + A.$$

Since $\varphi_A(\mathbb{C}_0) \subset \mathbb{C}_0$, we see from Theorem 1.1 that C_{φ_A} maps \mathcal{H}^p into itself. Now the semi-group property and the ideal property of approximation numbers (see Subsection 2.1), as well as the previous special case, give

$$a_n(C_\varphi) = a_n(C_{\varphi_A} \circ C_{T_A}) \leq \|C_{\varphi_A}\| a_n(C_{T_A}) \ll n^{-A}.$$

Part (b), $p=1$. It is easy to conclude from Lemma 3.2. Indeed, repeating the proof of the contraction principle for Schauder bases (Lemma 3.1) and using that

$$\sum_{j \geq n} \frac{\log j}{j^{A+1}} \ll \frac{\log n}{n^A},$$

we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{j \geq n} j^{-A} x_j e_j \right\|_1 \ll \frac{\log n}{n^A} \left\| \sum_{j \geq 1} x_j e_j \right\|_1.$$

We get $a_n(C_{T_A}) \ll (\log n)/n^A$ for $T_A(s) = s + A$ and conclude as before in the general case $\operatorname{Re} \varphi(s) > A$. \square

9. A TRANSFERENCE PRINCIPLE

In [26], we found a recipe for transferring a general composition operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ to a composition operator on \mathcal{H}^2 . The point was that, under this transference, decay rates for approximation numbers are preserved or at least not perturbed severely. The same transference makes sense in the \mathcal{H}^p setting, but we succeed only partially in getting similarly precise results as in [26]. We will now present this state of affairs and briefly describe the two basic problems that prevent us from proceeding further.

We begin by describing the recipe from [26]. Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, we let T be some conformal map from \mathbb{D} into $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$, which we will assume has the property that the operator C_T is bounded from \mathcal{H}^p to $H^p(\mathbb{D})$. We introduce the function

$$I(s) := 2^{-s}$$

which we view as an analytic map from \mathbb{C}_0 onto $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$. If ω is an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , then we define an analytic map $\varphi : \mathbb{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{1/2}$ by the formula $\varphi := T \circ \omega \circ I$, which implies $C_\varphi = C_I \circ C_\omega \circ C_T$. The Dirichlet series φ is then the symbol of a bounded composition operator C_φ on \mathcal{H}^p with $c_0 = 0$.

A natural choice is to set $T = T_0$, where

$$T_0(z) := \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1-z}{1+z},$$

so that T maps \mathbb{D} onto $\mathbb{C}_{1/2}$. Unfortunately, this forces us to require p to be even integer. This constraint comes, as in Theorem 1.1, from the local embedding

$$(33) \quad \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \int_a^{a+1} |f(1/2 + it)|^p dt \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p,$$

which is only known to hold when p is an even integer. This result relies on a well-known inequality in analytic number theory [19]. See [30] and also [21] for a thorough discussion of

this inequality and its connections with Carleson measures. Assuming that f is in \mathcal{H}^p and using (33), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \circ T_0\|_{H^p(\mathbb{D})}^p &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(1/2 + i \tan(t/2))|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(1/2 + ix)|^p \frac{dx}{\pi(1+x^2)} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_k^{k+1} |f(1/2 + ix)|^p \frac{dx}{\pi(1+x^2)} \ll \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{k^2+1} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \ll \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the composition operator defined by the formula C_T is a bounded operator from \mathcal{H}^p to $H^p(\mathbb{D})$.

For other values of p , we may instead choose, for example,

$$T_\varepsilon(z) := \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z} \right)^{1-\varepsilon}$$

for some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Using the pointwise estimates $|f(\sigma + it)| \leq [\zeta(2\sigma)]^{1/p} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}$ along with

$$2 \operatorname{Re} T_\varepsilon(z) - 1 \geq (2 \sin \pi \varepsilon / 2) \left| \frac{1-z}{1+z} \right|^{1-\varepsilon},$$

we may compute in a similar way as above to get that

$$\|f \circ T_\varepsilon\|_{H^p(\mathbb{D})}^p \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p \max(1, |x|^{\varepsilon-1}) \frac{dx}{(1+x^2)} \ll \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}^p}^p.$$

We are prepared to state our first basic estimate for C_φ .

Theorem 9.1. *Let ω be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Assume that $1 \leq p < \infty$ and that C_T is bounded from $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ into \mathcal{H}^p , and set $\varphi := T \circ \omega \circ I$. Then*

$$a_n(C_\varphi) \leq \|C_T\| a_n(C_\omega).$$

In particular, C_φ is compact whenever C_ω is compact.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, the operator C_I , defined by setting $C_I g(s) := g(I(s))$, is an isometry from $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ into \mathcal{H}^p . We use the ideal property of approximation numbers and their preservation under left multiplication by isometries to conclude that

$$a_n(C_\varphi) = a_n(C_\omega \circ C_T) \leq \|C_T\| a_n(C_\omega).$$

Here $\|C_T\|$ is finite by assumption. □

We would like to have a tight bound on C_φ from below as well, but this is harder to achieve. We may adapt Theorem 6.2 to get the following general bound. Here we use the notation $\mu_Z := \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - |z_j|^2) \delta_{z_j}$ for a sequence $Z = (z_j)$ in the unit disc.

Theorem 9.2. *Let ω be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} such that $\omega(\mathbb{D})$ has positive distance to -1 . Assume that $1 \leq p < \infty$ and that C_T is bounded from $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ into \mathcal{H}^p , and set $\varphi := T \circ \omega \circ I$ and $\Phi = T \circ \omega$, the Bohr lift of φ . There exists a positive constant c such that if $Z = (z_j)$ is any finite sequence with both Z and $\omega(Z)$ consisting of n distinct points in \mathbb{D} , then*

$$a_n(C_\varphi) \geq c n^{-(1/\min(2,p)-1/p)} [M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(\Phi(Z))]^{-1} \|\mu_Z\|_{\mathcal{E}, H^p(\mathbb{D})}^{-1/p} \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(\frac{1 - |z_j|^2}{1 - |\omega(z_j)|^2} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. Since Φ is bounded and $|1 + \omega(z)| \gg 1$, we have (e.g. in the case $T = T_0$)

$$\zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} s_j) = \zeta(2 \operatorname{Re} \Phi(z_j)) \geq \frac{c}{2 \operatorname{Re} \Phi(z_j) - 1} = \frac{c}{2} \frac{|1 + \omega(z_j)|^2}{(1 - |\omega(z_j)|^2)} \gg (1 - |\omega(z_j)|^2)^{-1}.$$

Using this fact, and following the same reasoning as in the proof of [26, Theorem 9.1], we obtain the result from Theorem 6.2. \square

This result is completely analogous to the bound from below in [26, Theorem 9.1], but at present only of interest when $p = 1$ because of (17) which says that $M_{\mathcal{H}^1}(S) \leq [M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S)]^2$ for any \mathcal{H}^2 interpolating sequence S .

We now have what we need to present our leading example and thus prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. When ω is a lens map, it is known from [17, Proposition 6.3] that the approximation numbers decay as $e^{-\sqrt{n}}$ to some positive power. By Theorem 9.1, the same upper bound holds for the decay of $a_n(C_\varphi)$ for the transferred operator¹ $C_\varphi = C_{T_\varepsilon} \circ C_\omega \circ C_I$ on \mathcal{H}^p for all $p \geq 1$. When $p = 1$, we can use Theorem 9.2 to arrive at the bound from below. Indeed, if we take $z_j = 1 - \rho^j$ with $0 < \rho < 1$ and if θ denotes the parameter of the lens map ω , then simple estimates, using in particular (17) and Lemma 4.3, show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_Z\|_{\mathcal{C}, H^1(\mathbb{D})} &\ll 1, \\ M_{\mathcal{H}^1}(S) &\ll [M_{\mathcal{H}^2}(S)]^2 \ll [M_{H^2(\mathbb{C}_{1/2})}(S)]^\alpha \ll e^{b/(1-\rho)}, \\ \inf_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left(\frac{1 - |z_j|^2}{1 - |\omega(z_j)|^2} \right)^{1/p} &\gg \rho^{n(1-\theta)/p}. \end{aligned}$$

We now optimize the choice of ρ by taking $\rho = 1 - 1/\sqrt{n}$, and we get the lower bound in Theorem 1.4 with the help of Theorem 9.2. \square

We note that for general $p \neq 1, 2$, we are not able to get any better result from Theorem 9.2 than the general lower bound in part (a) of Theorem 1.2.

We observe the following limitation of our method when p is not an even integer, and thus in particular also in the case $p = 1$. When the approximation numbers of C_ω decay more slowly than they do when ω is a lens map, the approximation numbers of $C_{T_\varepsilon} \circ C_\omega \circ C_I$ will still decay as a power of $e^{-\sqrt{n}}$ because of the map T_ε . Substituting T_ε by a map T which enjoys some smoothness at $z = 1$, we may remedy this situation to some extent. But it is clear that we are unable to obtain precise results when, for example, $(1 - |\omega|)^{-1}$ is non-integrable on \mathbb{T} .

We conclude that two rather fundamental open problems remain obstacles for extending the utility of our transference principle:

- Is the embedding inequality (33) valid for a continuous range of p , for instance all $1 \leq p < \infty$?
- What are the bounded interpolating sequences for \mathcal{H}^p when $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, and how can the constant of interpolation $M_{\mathcal{H}^p}(S)$ be estimated when the sequence S approaches the vertical line $\operatorname{Re} s = 1/2$?

¹We mention without proof that, for lens maps, the choice $T = T_0$ would work as well.

These questions await further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Eero Saksman for kindly allowing them to include in this paper his unpublished vertical convolution formula (8) and the alternate proof of Lemma 3.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aleman, J. F. Olsen, and E. Saksman, *Fourier multipliers for Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, to appear; doi: 10.1093/imrn/rnt080.
- [2] F. Bayart, *Hardy spaces of Dirichlet series and their composition operators*, Monatsh. Math. **136** (2002), 203–236.
- [3] F. Bayart, *Compact composition operators on a Hilbert of Dirichlet series*, Illinois. J. Math. **47** (2003), 725–743.
- [4] B. Berndtsson, S.-Y. Chang, and K.-C. Lin, *Interpolating sequences in the polydisc*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **302** (1987), 161–169.
- [5] H. Bohr, *Über die gleichmässige Konvergenz Dirichletscher Reihen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **143** (1912), 203–211.
- [6] B. Carl and I. Stephani, *Entropy, Compactness and the Approximation of Operators*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **98**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [7] B. Cole, T. W. Gamelin, *Representing measures and Hardy spaces of the infinite polydisk algebra*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **53** (1986), 112–142.
- [8] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, and A. Tonge, *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **43**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [9] S. E. Ebenstein, *Some H^p - spaces which are uncomplemented in L^p* , Pacific. J. Math. **43** (1972), 327–339.
- [10] J. Gordon and H. Hedenmalm, *The composition operators on the space of Dirichlet series with square-summable coefficients*, Michigan Math. J. **46** (1999), 313–329.
- [11] H. Hedenmalm, P. Lindqvist, and K. Seip, *A Hilbert space of Dirichlet series and systems of dilated functions in $L^2(0, 1)$* , Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), 1–37.
- [12] H. Helson, *Conjugate series and a theorem of Paley*, Pacific. J. Math. **8** (1958), 437–448.
- [13] H. Helson, *Hankel forms and random variables*, Studia Math. **176** (1) (2006), 85–92.
- [14] W. B. Johnson, H. König, B. Maurey, and J. R. Retherford, *Eigenvalues of p -summing and ℓ_p -type operators in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **32** (1979), 353–380.
- [15] P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p spaces*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **115**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, with two appendices by V. P. Havin.
- [16] D. Li, H. Queffélec, *Introduction à l'étude des espaces de Banach. Analyse et Probabilités* in: Cours spécialisés, vol.12, Société Mathématique de France, Paris 2004.
- [17] D. Li, H. Queffélec, and L. Rodriguez-Piazza, *On approximation numbers of composition operators*, J. Approx. Theory **164** (2012), 431–459.
- [18] B. Maurey and G. Pisier, *Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach*, Studia Math. **58** (1976), 45–90.
- [19] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Hilbert's inequality*, J. London Math. Soc. **8** (1974), 73–82.
- [20] J. F. Olsen, *Local properties of Hilbert spaces of Dirichlet series*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), 2669–2696.
- [21] J. F. Olsen and E. Saksman, *On the boundary behaviour of the Hardy space of Dirichlet series and a frame bound estimate*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **663** (2012), 33–66.
- [22] A. Pietsch, *s -numbers of operators in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 201–223.
- [23] A. Pietsch, *Weyl numbers and eigenvalues of operators in Banach spaces*, Math. Ann., **247** (1980), 149–168.

- [24] A. Pietsch, *Eigenvalues and s -numbers*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **13**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [25] G. Pisier, *Sur les espaces de Banach K -convexes*, Séminaire d'Analyse fonctionnelle, Exposé no **11**, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau, 1979–1980.
- [26] H. Queffélec and K. Seip, *Approximation numbers of composition operators on the H^2 space of Dirichlet series*, arXiv:1302.4117, 2013.
- [27] H. Queffélec and K. Seip, *Decay rates for approximation numbers of composition operators*, J. Anal. Math., to appear.
- [28] W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied mathematics, No.12, John Wiley and Sons, 1962.
- [29] E. Saksman, *Private communication*, Centre for Advanced Study, Oslo, 2012.
- [30] E. Saksman and K. Seip, *Integral means and boundary limits of Dirichlet series*, Bull London Math. Soc. **41** (2009), 411–422.
- [31] K. Seip, *Interpolation by Dirichlet series in \mathcal{H}^∞* , Linear and Complex Analysis, 153–164, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **226**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2009.
- [32] K. Seip, *Zeros of functions in Hilbert spaces of Dirichlet series*, Math. Z. **274** (2013), 1327–1339.
- [33] H. S. Shapiro and A. L. Shields, *On some interpolation problems for analytic functions*, Amer. J. Math. **83** (1961), 513–532.
- [34] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [35] S. Yamashita, *Criteria for functions to be of Hardy class H^p* , Proc. Amer- Math. Soc. **75** (1979), 69–72.

CLERMONT UNIVERSITÉ, UNIVERSITÉ BLAISE PASCAL, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, BP 10448, F-63000 CLERMONT-FERRAND - CNRS, UMR 6620, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES, F-63177 AUBIERE
E-mail address: bayart@math.univ-bpclermont.fr

UNIVERSITÉ LILLE NORD DE FRANCE, USTL, LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ UMR. CNRS 8524, F-59 655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: herve.queffelec@univ-lille1.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NO-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY
E-mail address: seip@math.ntnu.no